Attachment 5 - Design Review Advisory Panel

Table 5.1 details the comments made by the Shellharbour Design Review Advisory Panel during its consideration of the development and how the applicant has responded to these comments through design changes.

Table 5.1		
DRP Comment	Applicants Response	Council Response
The cross section tabled indicates some apartments are below ground leading to poor amenity.	The site's topography results in two of the 116 apartments having finished floor levels of between 0.5m and 0.85m below the public domain street level (A00.01 & A00.02). Both apartments will achieve the key ADG design criteria.	These two units have been discussed in detail in the assessment report. The design intent does not comprise the internal amenity as required by the design guidelines.
Solar access, cross ventilation, storage and other items compliance with ADG requirements.	An assessment of the DA against the ADG design criteria is provided.	ADG compliance has been addressed in detail in the assessment report and suitably justified where non compliances are proposed.
Demonstration of compliance with Urban Design Guidelines.	The proposal's consistency with the Guideline's objectives are provided.	Suitably complies and addressed in assessment report.
Further refinement of building façade materials and finishes.	The proposal's colour palette and materials are consistent with the Concept Plan (Mod 1) and Design Guidelines.	Additional information provided with Development Application. The design intent responds to the unique coastal design features of the area while responding to the unique lot orientation.
The proposal has issues with the loading dock/car entry ramp area. It is considered unsafe and requires further review.	The car park entry has been designed to enable vehicles entering and exiting the site to have good sightlines along the road and footpath. The traffic and parking assessment does not identify any safety concerns.	Suitable amendments made to the vehicle access area and additional details provided. Council engineers have reviewed and provided advice which supports the proposed access and confirms compliance with DCP requirements.
The building's interface with the public domain and adjoining sites.	The buildings have been designed to integrate with, and respond to, the public domain, the marina foreshore, and the future medium density dwellings to the south. All ground floor apartments have direct access to the street and the public domain interface will	Development is considered to successfully integrate with the public foreshore area. Development to the east of the site is limited to four storeys by the Concept Approval and the reduction in height from Building A down to Building B is considered to be a suitable integration into

Table 5.1		
DRP Comment	Applicants Response	Council Response
	be softened by a landscapes	this lower medium density
	ground level setback.	housing area.
Details of landscape and	Landscaping drawings have	Details of landscaping have
planting plan to be provided	been prepared by Fieldwork	been finalised as part of the
for communal and private	Associates.	Development Application
open space and the		submission and considered
streetscape.		acceptable.
Further development of four	The architectural design of	Details of Building B have
storey southern building.	the four-storey building	been finessed as part of the
	(Building B) has been	Development Application
	resolved for the purpose of	and are considered to be
	development assessment.	acceptable.